	Case 3:07-cv-04904-PJH Document 12 Filed 05/27/2008 Page 2 of 3
1	II.
2	EXHAUSTION
3	Petitioner exhausted his claims of instructional error and improper failure to limit or
4	sanitize impeachment evidence by presenting them to the California Supreme Court in a petition for review.
5	III.
6 7	DENIAL
8	
	There is no merit to petitioner's claims that (1) the trial court's use of CALJIC No. 2.15,
9	which allows an inference of guilt from possession of stolen property and slight corroborating
10	evidence, violated due process; and (2) due process was violated when the trial court allowed him
11	to be impeached by his prior convictions without limiting the number that could be used, and failed
12	to sanitize the conviction for robbery with firearm use.
13	IV.
14	TIMELINESS The petition is timely
15	The petition is timely. V.
16	
17	RECORDS We submit herewith transprints of the state trial and other partiaent records as described.
18	We submit herewith transcripts of the state trial and other pertinent records as described in the accompanying Index to Eyhibits
19	in the accompanying Index to Exhibits.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Anomore J. A.L. West, I.
	Answer Townsend v. Adams, Warden C 07-4904 PJH (PR)
	2